Self Interaction Correction with Unitary Invariance

Mark R Pederson[1], Adrienn Ruzsinszky[2] and John P. Perdew[2]
[1] *Department of Chemistry, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore MD, 21218 and Office of Basic Energy Sciences, SC22.1, US Department of Energy, Washington DC, 20585*
[2] *Department of Physics, Temple University, Philadelphia PA 19122*
(January 28, 2014)

Standard spin-density functionals for the exchange-correlation energy of a many-electron ground state make serious self-interaction errors which can be corrected by the Perdew-Zunger self-interaction correction (SIC). We propose a size-extensive construction of SIC orbitals which, unlike earlier constructions, makes SIC computationally efficient, and a true spin-density functional. The SIC orbitals are constructed from a unitary transformation that is explicitly dependent on the non-interacting one-particle density matrix. When this SIC is applied to the local spin-density approximation, improvements are found for the atomization energies of molecules.

arXiv:1401.6650v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 26 Jan 2014

Density Functional Theory (DFT) [1,2] allows for a quantum-mechanical description of electrons without direct calculation of the many-electron wavefunction. Approximations to DFT (including the local-spin-density or LSD approximations [2] and gradient approximations [3,4]) are widely and successfully used to predict, understand and optimize many physical and chemical phenomena. However, such approximations have yet to be cast in a form that is both efficient and free of the self-interaction error [5]. For example, in neutral systems the long-range behavior of the Kohn-Sham (KS) potential does not reduce to the $-1/r$ form expected from general considerations. As discussed in Refs. [6,7], the incorrect asymptotic form of the effective potential leads to a range of related issues, sometimes referred to as delocalization errors [8], when using DFT functionals to understand chemistry, materials and physics.

For open-shell systems, standard DFT expressions allow for relatively accurate predictions of electron affinities if total energy differences are calculated. However, because of the self-interaction error, the highest anionic KS eigenvalue is usually positive, suggesting that an isolated anion would prefer to have fractional charge. A more serious deficiency arises for closed shell molecules. While anions of high-symmetry molecules are often experimentally unstable, molecules with large dipole moments, such as ethylene carbonate [9], are known to form dipole-bound anions that are held together by electrostatics, dispersion and correlation [10]. However, the SIC for the extra electron is large compared to these effects, which makes direct calculation of weak interactions between excess electrons and dielectric media difficult within DFT. In this regard, we note further that calculations of Rydberg-excitations in atoms, or the Mott-Gurney continuum [11] of shallow-defect states in solids, would require the asymptotically correct forms of the effective potential that many versions of SIC reproduce. Various constrained DFT methods [12–14] have been suggested to help address this problem for calculations of excited states. Fractional dissociation of ionic molecules and crystals is another case where most approximations to DFT, including hybrid DFT, present problems [15]. For such cases DFT-based methods usually lead to improper dissociation with the ground-state separated limit leading to partially charged atoms. We mention parenthetically that in regard to bond-breaking of condensed phases into separated atoms and broken-symmetry calculations that are often used for magnetic calculations [16], there is the so-called symmetry dilemma due to the fact that a single determinant constructed from the KS orbitals is not always an eigenstate of symmetry or spin; there are good physical reasons [17] to permit such symmetry breaking in density functional approaches including ours here. Calculations of DFT atomic energies as a function of fractional occupation show, for at least localized systems, that the energy as a function of charge state is not linear in the fractional electron limit as required by the Perdew-Levy theorem that requires piece-wise linear energies and derivative discontinuities at integer electron states [6]. With respect to modeling of electronic transport, simple atomic SIC methods have been shown to be useful to more accurately account for the electronegativity differences between gold leads and molecular islands [18]. An easy-to-use self-interaction correction is very much needed in time-dependent DFT [19,20], where ionizations and atomizations occur continuously in real time. While current implementations of SIC have not provided empirical evidence that the SIC error is the accuracy limiting issue for calculation of atomization energy in molecules [21,22], the need for accurate reaction energies and reaction barriers has historically been one of the strong drivers for the development of accurate approximations to DFT. It may be that a more systematic recipe for incorporating SIC into DFT will eventually provide an additional means for improving our ability to predict energetics related to chemical transformations.

Other manifestations of this issue impact predictions of

magnetic properties. As originally pointed out by Janak *et al* [23], an incorrect accounting for the self-interaction correction can lead to incorrect valences for open-shell atoms with partially filled d- and f- shells. Partially occupied valences are not necessarily a physical issue as it is possible to obtain such interpretations from the natural bond-orbital analysis of multi-configurational wave functions and density matrices. However, Svane and Temmerman and coworkers have found that the calculation of phase diagrams in systems containing f electrons requires inclusion of SIC because the number of occupied f electrons changes as a function of lattice constant [24]. In systems composed of lighter elements, such as metal-oxide-based molecular magnets, DFT often provides correct electronic structures and anisotropies but the size of the inter-ionic exchange parameters, and therefore spin-excitations, are overestimated within DFT [16], due to slight delocalization of the d-electrons. A class of Ni_4O_4 molecular magnets has proven to be particularly problematic within standard DFT approaches [25,26]. Inclusion of a Hubbard U treatment, an approximation to SIC, is needed to correctly predict the spin-ordering [26].

In the original formulation of the problem, for a given approximation to the exchange correlation functional, $E_{xc}^{approx}[n_\uparrow, n_\downarrow]$, Perdew and Zunger [5] suggested appending the following term to the DFT functional:

$$E_{xc}^{PZ-SIC} = -\Sigma_{\alpha,\sigma}\{U[n_{\alpha,\sigma}] + E_{xc}^{approx}[n_{\alpha,\sigma}, 0]\} \quad (1)$$

In the above equation, the orbitals $\{\phi_{\alpha\sigma}\}$ are used to define orbital densities according to: $n_{\alpha\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) = |\phi_{\alpha\sigma}(\mathbf{r})|^\mathbf{2}$. The terms $U[n_{\alpha,\sigma}]$ and $E_{xc}^{approx}[n_{\alpha,\sigma}, 0]$ are the exact self-coulomb and approximate self exchange-correlation energies, respectively. The PZ paper recognized that this formulation led to a definition for the energy functional that did not transform like the density and posited that localized orbitals similar to those proposed by Edmiston and Ruedenberg [27] might be the most appropriate set of orbitals for defining the SIC [5]. Shortly thereafter, Lin's Wisconsin SIC group followed up on this suggestion and introduced the concept of localized and canonical orbitals in self-interaction corrected theories [28–31]. These papers showed that to ensure a Hermitian Lagrange multiplier matrix, the orbitals used for constructing the SIC energy must satisfy the $O(N^2)$ localization equations given by

$$< \phi_{i\sigma}|V_{i\sigma}^{SIC} - V_{j\sigma}^{SIC}|\phi_{j\sigma} >= 0, \quad (2)$$

with $V_{i\sigma}^{SIC}$ the partial functional derivative of Eq. (1) with respect to the orbital density $n_{i\sigma}$. The Jacobi-like approach [30] to solving these equations also depended on $O(N^2)$ Jacobi updates. The localized orbitals obtained from these equations were found to be topologically similar to sp^3 hybrids in atoms, alternative energy-localized orbitals in molecules [27] and Wannier functions in solids [28]. In addition, they satisfied an explicitly local Schrödinger-like equation that was coupled together by off-diagonal Lagrange multipliers. On the other hand, the canonical orbitals were topologically similar to the DFT KS orbitals.



FIG. 1. Valence Fermi Orbital Centroids (FOC) are superimposed over molecular equilibrium geometries for CO_2, C_2, and N_2. In all cases the FOCs form vertices on distorted tetrahedra.

The one-particle density matrices, $\rho_\sigma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ and densities $n_\sigma(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_\sigma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r})$, arising from single determinants or products of single determinants are invariant under unitary transformation of the occupied orbitals of each spin, and the value of the many-electron wavefunction can only change by a phase factor under such transformations. The resulting energy expectation value from such wavefunctions is also invariant to unitary transformations within the space of orbitals used for constructing wavefunctions, and transforms like the one-particle density matrices. While DFT also exhibits these symmetries, in SIC-DFT there are many possible choices for a unitary transformation from Kohn-Sham to SIC orbitals (real or complex [32], satisfying energy-minimizing or other conditions). The standard ways to find SIC orbitals are computationally demanding, especially for systems of many atoms, and results have raised questions about size extensivity [6] in SIC-DFT [33]. While the uncorrected functionals do not have problems with size extensivity, the SIC functionals fail to be so if the SIC orbitals delocalize over distant atomic sites. These problems are solved in this Letter.

Here, a modification of the original formulation of the Perdew-Zunger self-interaction correction is introduced. For notational simplicity, we consider the large number of molecular and crystalline systems that can, in principle, be described by real KS orbitals and that have an integer number of spin up and spin down electrons. This formulation leads to a size-consistent SIC *spin-density*

functional that is invariant to unitary transformations in the occupied orbital space and leads to a long-range effective potential that scales as $-1/r$. Rather than allowing the SIC localized orbitals to be *any* unitary transformation within the occupied orbital space, we introduce a constraint that the orbitals used for constructing Eq. (1) must be explicitly dependent on a quantity that is itself unitarily invariant. The Fermi orbital (FO) [34,35] is a specific example of such a quantity. Given a trial set of KS orbitals, the FO ($F_{i\sigma}$) is defined at any point in space, $\mathbf{a_{i\sigma}}$, according to:

$$F_{i\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\rho_\sigma(\mathbf{a_{i\sigma}}, \mathbf{r})}{\sqrt{\rho_\sigma(\mathbf{a_{i\sigma}})}}, \qquad (3)$$

$$F_{i\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\Sigma_\alpha \psi^*_{\alpha\sigma}(\mathbf{a}_{i\sigma})\psi_{\alpha\sigma}(\mathbf{r})}{\sqrt{\{\Sigma_\alpha |\psi_{\alpha\sigma}(\mathbf{a_{i\sigma}})|^\mathbf{2}\}}} \equiv \Sigma_\alpha T^\sigma_{i\alpha}\psi_{\alpha\sigma}(\mathbf{r}). \qquad (4)$$

In other words, the FO is simply the ratio of the one-particle spin-density matrix to the square root of the spin density and is ultimately a simple transformation of the KS orbitals. It is easy to verify that Wannier functions are a sub-class of Fermi Orbitals and a few more comments illustrate their physical and chemical nature. At $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{a_{i\sigma}}$, the value of the absolute square of the FO is identically equal to the total spin density at $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{a_{i\sigma}}$. Further, the FO associated with any position, $\mathbf{a_{i\sigma}}$, in space is normalized to unity. For special sets of points, the FOs can be immediately orthogonal (e.g. Wannier Functions) but for general sets of points, they are not orthogonal. Second, the absolute square of the FO is minus the exchange hole density at $\mathbf{r}$ around an electron at $\mathbf{a_{i\sigma}}$. The exact exchange energy of a single Slater determinant is simply

$$E^x = -\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_\sigma \int d^3r \int d^3a \frac{|\Sigma_\alpha \psi^*_{\alpha\sigma}(\mathbf{r})\psi_{\alpha\sigma}(\mathbf{a})|^2}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}|}. \qquad (5)$$

In Ref. [36], it was noted that the minus the exchange-hole density had characteristics similar to a single-orbital density but a simple-to-use local expression for replacing the non-local Fock operator remained difficult to obtain.

Because the FO accounts for all the spin density at a given point in space it is expected to be a rather localized function. So, in order to incorporate self-interaction corrections for the states with spin σ in a system that contains a total of $N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow$ electrons, the Perdew-Zunger formulation of the self-interaction correction can be slightly constrained by invoking the following strategy for each spin:

1. For a trial set of KS orbitals $\{\psi_{\alpha\sigma}\}$ find N_σ centroids $\{\mathbf{a}_{1\sigma}, \mathbf{a}_{2\sigma}, ..., \mathbf{a}_{N_\sigma\sigma}\}$which provide a set of N_σ normalized linearly independent, but not orthogonal FO $\{F_{1\sigma}, F_{2\sigma}...F_{N_\sigma\sigma}\}$ which, from Eq. (4), will always lie in the space spanned by the KS orbitals.

2. Use Löwdin's method of symmetric orthonormalization [30,37] to transform the set of FO to a set of localized orthonormal orbitals $\{\phi_{1\sigma}, \phi_{2\sigma}..., \phi_{N_\sigma\sigma}\}$ that are a unitary transformation on the KS orbitals.

3. Minimize the energy as a function of the KS orbitals and the classical centroids of the FOs. The optimization of the KS orbitals can follow any of the approaches described in Ref. [7] and references therein. The Fermi-orbital centroids (FOCs) can be determined using methods that are commonly used for optimizing molecular geometries.

This approach bypasses solution of the localization equations in entirety at the lesser expense of the search for quasi-transferable and chemically appealing semi-classical FOCs (Fig. 1) on which the FO and unitary transformation depend.

Compared to the localization equations [30], the FO-formalism adds a constraint that prevents consideration of all unitary transformations and especially discourages the use of the Kohn-Sham orbitals such as Bloch Functions, molecular orbitals or orbital-angular momentum states in atoms. A simple molecular case that illustrates this is the He_2 molecule. To obtain σ_g and σ_u FO, it would be necessary to find a point where the value of the nodeless σ_g state is zero and the value of the σ_u state is non-zero, which is impossible.

Methodology and Scaling: Solution of the KS equations is usually N^3, unless special linear-scaling methods are employed. The FO formalism reduces the number of variational parameters from $O(N^2)$ to $O(3N)$ and in this regard should scale faster than methods based upon solution of Eq. (2). Our analysis is that, for systems with a gap, an algorithm based upon direct iterative updates of the FOs (rather than the KS orbitals), would scale as favorably as DFT ($O(N) - O(N^2)$) in the many-atom limit. Also, compared to the methods of Ref. [29–31] the energy determined from the FO formalism should be higher as a result of the constraint that FSIC is explicitly dependent on the density. The calculations discussed here used a modified version of NRL-MOL [38,39]. Large Gaussian-orbital basis sets [38] were used to represent the electron wavefunctions.

Applications to Atoms: As a first test we have performed FSIC calculations on the six lightest closed shell-atoms (He, Be, Ne, Mg, Ar, Ca). In all cases, the FO procedure provides localized orbitals that resemble the sp^3 hybrids and $1s-$core orbitals identified in Ref. [31].

Applications to molecules: In Table I we compare calculated atomization energies from LSD-PW92 [3], GGA-PBE [4], SIC-PZ [32], FSIC-PW92 and experiment. The FSIC-PW92 provides significant improvements over LSD as compared to experiment and does as well or better than PBE-GGA in some cases. The

Mol.	LSD PW92	GGA PBE	SIC PZ	FSIC PW92	Expt
H_2	4.91	4.51	4.97	4.97	4.77
Li_2	1.03	1.06	1.04	1.02	1.06
LiF	6.75	6.01	6.17	5.61	6.03
N_2	11.58	10.49	10.89	9.80	9.84
O_2	7.62	6.30	5.77	4.80	5.12
CO	12.94	11.65	12.02	11.00	11.32
CO_2	20.57	18.16	18.29	16.88	17.00
CH_4	20.06	18.24	20.25	20.23	18.21
NH_3	14.56	13.05	14.21	14.24	12.88
H_2O	11.64	10.27	10.68	10.71	10.10
C_2H_2	19.93	18.01	19.81	18.93	17.52
C_2	7.23	6.22		5.10	6.31

TABLE I. Atomization energies (eV) of molecules as determined from FSIC-PW92 (this work). LSD-PW92[3], GGA-PBE[4] and experimental results are from Refs. [21-22,38]. SIC-PZ results are from Ref. [32].

results in Table I are encouraging and suggest that this class of self-interaction corrected functionals may provide higher accuracy. To provide greater technical detail and compare to other calculations we discuss two cases in a bit more detail.

The strongly covalent singlet N_2 molecule, $1\sigma_g^2 1\sigma_u^2 2\sigma_g^2 2\sigma_u^2 1\pi_u^4 3\sigma_g^2$, which dissociates into an open-shell singlet with three unpaired $2p$ electrons per atom is challenging to represent continuously as a broken-symmetry single determinant. Within the FO-method, the atomization energy for the N_2 molecule ($R_e^{PW92} = 2.071au$) is determined by taking the difference in total energy between the spin unpolarized molecule and the spin polarized atoms. Using FSIC-PW92, we find an atomization energy (D_e) of 9.80 eV which compares well to the experimental atomization energy (9.84 eV) and to high-accuracy CASSCF results (9.85 eV) of Li Manni *et al* [40]. For comparison, the LSD-PW92 energy functional gives an atomization energy of 11.54 eV at this bondlength and the GGA-PBE energy functional gives an atomization energy of 10.54 eV. In Fig. 1, the valence FOCs are shown pictorially. In accord with Refs. [29,32], we have ascertained that the FO-formalism creates doubly occupied $1s$ FO on both atoms, $1s_{A+/A-} = \{1\sigma_g' \pm 1\sigma_u'\}/\sqrt{2}$, lone-pair states on the exterior of the molecule, $2sp_{A+/A-} = \{3\sigma_g' \pm 2\sigma_u'\}/\sqrt{2}$, and three bond-centered banana orbitals (e.g. $\phi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}[2\sigma_g' - \sqrt{2}\{\cos(\frac{2n\pi}{3})\pi_{ux} + \sin(\frac{2n\pi}{3})\pi_{uy}\}]$, with $n = -1, 0, +1$). As in Ref. [29], the primes indicate that KS molecular orbitals of the same symmetry are mixed together by a unitary transformation within each irreducible representation to minimize Eq. (1). For example the $\{2\sigma_g', 3\sigma_g'\}$ are not perfect eigenstates. Instead they are determined by a nearly diagonal unitary mixture of the $\{2\sigma_g, 3\sigma_g\}$ KS eigenstates. In Table II, we compare eigenvalues as calculated from HF, an earlier SIC-LSDX calculation [30], and experiment. The results show that the eigenvalues move to significantly lower energies in accordance with previously identified trends. In accord with the results of Ref. [31], the FOs for the separated atom are $2sp^3$ hybrids for the majority spin.

State	HF	SIC LSDX	FSIC PW92	LSD PW92	Expt Expt	CASSCF
$1\sigma_g$ (au)	-15.709	-15.639	-15.68	-13.966		
$1\sigma_u$ (au)	-15.706	-15.637	-15.67	-13.965		
$2\sigma_g$ (au)	-1.525	-1.428	-1.371	-1.0422		
$2\sigma_u$ (au)	-0.775	-0.745	-0.788	-0.492		
$1\pi_u$ (au)	-0.62	-0.639	-0.687	-0.438		
$3\sigma_g$ (au)	-0.631	-0.600	-0.658	-0.388	-0.573	
D_e (eV)		7.26	9.8 eV	11.58	9.80	9.84

TABLE II. The nitrogen molecule. Eigenvalues (Hartree) and dissociation or atomization energy (D_e in eV) for Hartree-Fock (HF), self-interaction corrected exchange-only (SIC-LSDX)[29,31], FSIC (this work), LSD-PW92 (this work), experiment and CASSCF[39].

Within FSIC-PW92 we find that the atomization energy for methane is 20.23 eV, in good agreement with the results of Klüpfel *et al* [32] ($R_e^{PW92}(C-H) = 2.074$ Bohr). However, this is not an improvement over PW92 (20.06 eV). For comparison, the GGA-PBE and experimental atomization energy are 18.24 and 18.21, eV respectively. For this case there is a $1s$ core FOC on top of the C atom and there are four equivalent $2sp^3$ FO that are composed of C $2s$, $2p$, and H $1s$ character. The minimal energy is found when the FOC is 1.82 Bohr from the C atom along each $C-H$ bond. The FSIC-PW92 energy is weakly dependent on the FOC and changes by only 0.1 eV when FOCs move 1.2-2.2 Bohr, along their CH bonds, from the C atom. However, it may not be surprising that the optimal FOC is in very good agreement with the position of maximum density commonly identified by x-ray spectra. In this regard, it may be useful to analyze x-ray data for N_2 molecules to understand whether either the $1s$-FOC or the centroid of its orthonormal child, $< \phi_{1s\sigma}|\mathbf{r}|\phi_{1s\sigma} >$, compare with positions of maximal density identified by x-ray analysis. Within FSIC-PW92, the energy of the hydrogen atom is exact and the FOs for the isolated C atom are qualitatively similar to those identified in Ref. [31].

To summarize, we present and test a simplified computationally efficient and systematic theoretical framework for incorporating self-interaction corrections into the density-functional approximation. Compared to the accuracy of GGA-PBE the results for FSIC-PW92 are mixed but still encouraging. The combination of an asymptotically correct long-range potential and explicit unitary invariance offered by the FO may allow for future meta- and/or hyper- gradient corrected formalisms that are constructed in an *a priori* self-interaction-free

form. The FOs obtained here are topologically similar to those obtained from the localization-equations used earlier [31]. However, the unitary transformations that can be constructed from the FOs are constrained and, relative to the original formulation, retains the symmetries and size extensivity that are present in density-functional theory.

Acknowledgements: MRP thanks Dr. R.A. Heaton who first suggested the possibility of using a FO in the PZ SIC functional. JPP acknowledges support from NSF Grant No. DMR-1305135.

[1] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964).
[2] W. Kohn and L.J. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A1133 (1965).
[3] J. P. Perdew, J. A. Chevary, S. H. Vosko, K. A. Jackson, M. R. Pederson, D. J. Singh, and C. Fiolhais, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 6671 (1992).
[4] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3865 (1996).
[5] J.P. Perdew and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B **23**, 5048 (1981).
[6] J.P. Perdew, "Size-Consistency, Self-Interaction Correction, and Derivative Discontinuity", in *Density Functional Theory of Many-Electron Systems*, edited by S.B. Trickey, Advances in Quantum Chemistry **21**, 113 (1990).
[7] M.R. Pederson and J.P. Perdew, "Self-Interaction Correction in Density Functional Theory: The Road Less Traveled", Ψ_K Newsletter Scientific Highlight of the Month, February (2012).
[8] A. J. Cohen, P. Mori-Sanchez, and W. Yang, Science **321**, 5890 (2008).
[9] N. I. Hammer, R. J. Hinde, R. N. Compton, K. Diri, K. D. Jordan, D. Radisic, S. T. Stokes and K. H. Bowen, J. Chem. Phys. **120**, 685 (2004).
[10] K.D. Jordan and F. Wang, Ann. Rev. of Physical Chem. **54**, 367-396 (2003).
[11] N.F. Mott and R.W. Gurney, *Electronic Processes in Ionic Crystals* (Dover, New York, 1965 pp 80 and 114.
[12] M.R. Pederson and B.M. Klein, Phys. Rev. B **37** 10319 (1988).
[13] P.H. Dederichs, S. Blügel, R. Zeller and H. Akai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53** (1984).
[14] M. Olguin, T. Baruah, and R. Zope, J. Chem. Phys. **138** 074306 (2013).
[15] A. Ruzsinszky, J. P. Perdew, G.I. Csonka, O.A. Vydrov and G.E. Scuseria J. Chem. Phys. **125** 194112 (2006).
[16] A. Postnikov, J. Kortus, and M.R. Pederson, Phys. Stat. Solidi (b) **243**, 2533 (2006).
[17] J.P. Perdew, A. Savin, and K. Burke, Phys. Rev. A **51**, 4531 (1995).
[18] D. Pemmaraju, T. Archer, D. Sanchez-Portal, S. Sanvito, Phys. Rev. B **75** 045101 (2007).
[19] E. Runge and E.K.U. Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 997 (1984).
[20] D. Hoffmann and S. Kümmel, J. Chem. Phys. **137**, 064117 (2012).
[21] M. Ernzerhof and G.E. Scuseria, J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 5029 (1999).
[22] O.A. Vydrov, and G.E. Scuseria, J. Chem. Phys. **121** 8187 (2004).
[23] J.F. Janak, Phys. Rev. B **18**, 7165 (1978).
[24] A. Svane, V. Kanchana, G. Vaitheeswaran, G. Santi, W.M. Temmerman, Z. Szotek, P. Strange, and L. Petit, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 054427 (2004).
[25] K. Park, E.-C. Yang, and D.N. Hendrickson, J. Appl. Phys. **97**, 10M522 (2005).
[26] C. Cao, S. Hill, and H.P. Cheng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 167206-4 (2008).
[27] C. Edmiston and K. Ruedenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **35**, 457 (1963).
[28] R.A. Heaton, J.G. Harrison and C.C. Lin, Phys. Rev. B **28**, 5992 (1983).
[29] M.R. Pederson, R.A. Heaton, and C.C. Lin, J. Chem. Phys. **80**, 1972 (1984).
[30] M.R. Pederson, R.A. Heaton, and C.C. Lin, J. Chem. Phys. **82**, 2688 (1985).
[31] M.R. Pederson and C.C. Lin, J. Chem. Phys. **88**, 1807 (1988).
[32] S. Klüpfel, P. Klüpfel and H. Jónsson, J. Chem. Phys. **137**, 124102 (2012).
[33] S. Klüpfel, P. Klüpfel, and H.Jónsson, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 050501(R) (2011). Fig. 1 of this paper shows positive SIC corrections to the GGA-PBE total energies of atoms heavier than N, from real SIC orbitals. P. Klüpfel plausibly concluded from this that, in a sufficiently-stretched diatomic molecule made of two identical heavy atoms, the real SIC orbitals would delocalize, leading to a failure of size extensivity (public discussion at the CECAM Workshop on Self-Interaction Correction: State of the Art and New Directions, Chester, England, 2011).
[34] W.L. Luken and D.N. Beratan, Theor. Chim. Acta, **61**, 265-281 (1982).
[35] W.L. Luken and J.C. Culberson, Theor. Chim. Acta, **66** 279-283 (1984).
[36] J. P. Perdew, "Nonlocal Density Functionals for Exchange and Correlation" in *Density Functional Theory of Molecules, Clusters and Solids*, Ed. by D. E. Ellis (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995).
[37] P.O Löwdin, Rev. Mod. Phys. **34**, 520 (1962).
[38] M.R. Pederson, DV Porezag, J. Kortus and DC Patton, Phys. Stat. Solidi B **217**, 197 (2000).
[39] D.C. Patton, D.V. Porezag and M.R. Pederson, Phys. Rev. B **55** 7454 (1999).
[40] G. Li Manni, D. Ma, F. Aquilante, J. Olsen and L. Gagliardi, J. Chem. Theory and Comput. **9** 3375 (2013).